

Informational Paper 64

Wisconsin Legislative Fiscal Bureau
January, 2019

## Recycling Financial Assistance Programs

Prepared by

Kendra Bonderud

Wisconsin Legislative Fiscal Bureau One East Main, Suite 301 Madison, WI 53703 http://legis.wisconsin.gov/lfb

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Solid Waste and Recycling Program Requirements	1
Local Government Responsible Units	4
Solid Waste Haulers	9
Municipal and County Recycling Grant Program	9
Recycling Consolidation Grant Program	13
Electronics Recycling Program	17
Department of Natural Resources Activities	21
Council on Recycling	22
Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection Clean Sweep Grants	23
Appendix I: Recyclable Materials Collected by Responsible Units and Reported to DNR	25
Appendix II: Municipal and County Recycling Grant, Efficiency Incentive Grant, and Consolidation Grant Programs: Appropriation Levels 1990-91 Through 2018-19	26
Appendix III: Prior Recycling Grant Formulas	27
Appendix IV: Summary of Municipal and County Recycling Grant Amounts	28

## **Recycling Financial Assistance Programs**

#### Introduction

The Legislature enacted 1989 Wisconsin Act 335 to create a statewide regulatory and financial assistance program to encourage, and in some instances require, solid waste recycling and reduction. The act also banned certain recyclable materials from landfills. Subsequent legislation modified the funding sources and appropriations for state recycling programs.

The purpose of this paper is to describe major statewide solid waste recycling regulations, and Department of Natural Resources (DNR) administration of recycling requirements, financial assistance programs, and electronics recycling programs. It also describes the Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (DATCP) clean sweep grants for the collection of household hazardous waste, pesticides, and farm chemicals.

DNR administers the municipal and county recycling grant program that provides financial assistance to units of local government for a portion of eligible recycling expenses. The grant program is appropriated \$19 million for grants in calendar year 2018 (2017-18) and \$19 million in 2019 (2018-19). In addition, a recycling consolidation grant program is funded with \$1 million annually.

Recycling programs are funded from the segregated environmental management account of the environmental fund. The recycling grants to local governments are the largest expenditures from the environmental management account. For more information about revenues and expenditures to the account, see the Legislative Fiscal Bureau informational paper entitled "Environmental Management Account."

# Solid Waste and Recycling Program Requirements

#### **Solid Waste Management Policy**

The state's solid waste management policy, established in 1989 Act 335 in s. 287.05 of the statutes, declares that maximum solid waste reduction, reuse, recycling, composting and resource recovery is in the best interest of the state to protect public health, to protect the quality of the natural environment and to conserve resources and energy.

The policy states that implementation of solid waste reduction, reuse, recycling, composting and resource recovery systems and operations should involve and encourage the cooperation of individuals, state and local governments, tribes, schools, private organizations and businesses. The statutes specify that state government should achieve this by relying to the maximum extent feasible on technical and financial assistance, educational and managerial practices, and that necessary regulations should be developed with maximum flexibility.

The state policy establishes a hierarchy of solid waste management options, ranked in the following order of preference: (a) reduction of the amount of solid waste generated; (b) reuse of solid waste; (c) recycling of solid waste; (d) composting of solid waste; (e) recovery of energy from solid waste; (f) land disposal of solid waste; and (g) the burning of solid waste without energy recovery.

#### **Bans on Landfilling and Incineration**

State law prohibits the landfilling and incineration of specified materials after certain dates as a means of encouraging their recycling or reducing their generation. Table 1 lists materials banned from landfills and the year in which the materials were banned.

In the recycling law, the term "solid waste disposal facility" includes several types of facilities, but is most commonly synonymous with the more familiar "landfill." A "solid waste treatment facility" that burns solid waste is generally synonymous with "incinerator." For the purposes of this paper, "landfill" and "incinerator" will be used unless a more extensive definition is necessary for clarity.

DNR is authorized to add or subtract electronic devices from the list of electronic devices banned from landfills by promulgating administrative rule changes. To date, DNR has not done so.

#### **Exceptions to the Bans**

Exceptions to the 1995 landfill and incineration bans are made for: (a) incidental amounts of the banned materials generated in a region that has an effective recycling program; (b) certain materials incinerated in a grandfathered incinerator; (c) incinerators that burn solid waste as a supplemental fuel; (d) certain medical waste; (e) unexpected emergency conditions; (f) certain woody materials burned in approved woodburning facilities; (g) beneficial reuse of a material within a landfill; (h) contaminated materials; and (i) certain plastics if recycling is not feasible. "Incidental amounts" refers to banned materials that are not separated for recycling within an effective program, including items the consumer fails to separate, and nonrecyclable items, such as newspapers used for cleaning windows and cardboard pizza take-out boxes with food residue.

DNR is authorized to grant a waiver to the yard

waste landfilling prohibition to allow the burning of brush or other clean, woody vegetative material that is no greater than six inches in diameter at woodburning facilities that are licensed or permitted by DNR.

The ban on landfilling yard waste does not apply to the disposal of plants classified by DNR as invasive species or their seeds. Persons are allowed to dispose of invasive plants in a landfill if the plants or seeds are not commingled with other yard waste.

Landfill operators are required to make a reasonable effort to manually separate, and arrange to have recycled, a television, a laptop computer, or computer monitor that is readily observable in the solid waste delivered to the landfill. This does not apply if: (a) separating the device is not practical; (b) separating the device would require the operator to implement measures to protect human health or safety in addition to any measures taken in the ordinary course of business; or (c) the device has been damaged in such a way that recycling is not feasible or practical.

Under 2017 Wisconsin Act 284, foam polystyrene packaging and plastic containers are exempt from the landfill bans if they are processed at a pyrolysis or gasification facility. These facilities use a heating process to convert plastics into products such as fuel or chemical feedstocks.

#### **Enforcement of Bans**

DNR is authorized to issue a citation to any person who violates any of the bans. The forfeitures that may be collected through a citation for violation of these requirements are \$50 for the first violation, \$200 for the second and \$2,000 for the third or subsequent violation. The Attorney General is authorized to enforce the 1995 and 2010 bans by seeking injunctive relief against any person who violates them.

#### **Table 1: Materials Banned from Landfills**

Date Material

January 1, 1991

No person may dispose of the following in a landfill or solid waste disposal facility:

- · Lead acid batteries
- Major appliances: residential or commercial air conditioners, clothes dryers, clothes washers, dishwashers, freezers, microwave ovens, ovens, refrigerators, stoves, furnaces, boilers, dehumidifiers and water heaters.
- Waste oil

January 3, 1993

No person may dispose of yard waste in a landfill or solid waste disposal facility, except in an approved land spreading facility (a facility in which solid waste is placed in thin layers onto the surface of the land or incorporated into the surface layers of the soil).

No person may burn yard waste without energy recovery.

Yard waste: leaves, grass clippings, yard and garden debris, and brush, including clean woody vegetative material no greater than six inches in diameter.

Yard waste does not include stumps, roots or shrubs with intact root balls.

January 1, 1995

No person may dispose of the following in a landfill or solid waste disposal facility:

- Aluminum containers
- · Corrugated paper or other container board
- · Foam polystyrene packaging
- · Glass containers
- · Magazines
- Newspapers
- · Office paper
- Plastic containers (plastics #1 through #7)
- · Steel containers
- Bi-metal cans (combination of steel and aluminum)
- Waste tires (can be burned with energy recovery, but cannot be burned without energy recovery).

September 1, 2010

No person may landfill, burn with or without energy recovery, or place in a container the contents of which will be landfilled or burned, electronic devices or covered electronic devices.

Electronic devices: peripheral (such as computer keyboard, mouse or speaker), facsimile machine, digital video disc player, digital video player, video cassette recorder, video recorder, or cell phone.

Covered electronic device: television, computer monitor, computer, or printer for use by households or schools.

January 1, 2011

No person may dispose of used oil filters for automotive engine oil in a landfill or solid waste facility.

July 1, 2011

No person may place in a container the contents of which will be disposed of in a solid waste facility, converted into fuel, or burned at a solid waste treatment facility, any of the materials subject to the 1995 bans.

No person may place a waste tire in a container the contents of which will be disposed of in a solid waste facility, or burned without waste recovery at a solid waste treatment facility.

April 7, 2012

No person may dispose of oil-absorbent materials containing waste oil in a landfill or solid waste facility unless waste oil has been drained so no visible signs of free-flowing oil remain in or on the oil-absorbent materials, and the oil-absorbent materials are not hazardous waste.

DNR's implementation of the recycling law emphasizes achieving voluntary compliance through technical and financial assistance rather than enforced compliance through the imposition of penalties or injunctions. However, the Department works with local units of government to identify violations of recycling ordinances by waste haulers or landfills.

DNR activities related to enforcement are described under the sections on effective recycling programs and solid waste haulers. DNR did not refer any cases related to landfill bans to the Department of Justice (DOJ) between 2010 and 2018. DNR issued eight notices of noncompliance to haulers in 2016 through July, 2018, for violations such as not notifying customers of recycling laws, not disposing of solid waste at a licensed facility, operating without a license, or not displaying a waste hauler license number on a vehicle. All facilities returned to compliance without further enforcement. For cases in which a business, resident or other entity is not following recycling requirements of the local ordinance, DNR works with the local unit of government on enforcement. For cases in which waste haulers or materials recovery facilities are not complying with state recycling requirements outside the authority of the local unit of government, DNR works directly with the haulers or materials recovery facilities to ensure compliance with state requirements.

#### **Local Government Responsible Units**

#### **Responsibilities of Local Governments**

The statutes establish several responsibilities for local government related to recycling. In general, the local units of government responsible for implementing state-mandated recycling programs are termed "responsible units." Under the recycling law definition, the responsible unit for a geographic area is the municipality (city, village

or town) unless a county takes specific action to create a responsible unit. Currently, every municipality in the state is included within one of 1,071 responsible units. For 2018, almost all responsible units (1,032 of 1,071), representing over 99% of the state's population, received state-funded grants for a portion of the costs of operating local recycling programs.

A county may become a responsible unit upon its board adopting a resolution accepting this designation. There are 34 counties that are responsible units for all or some of the communities within their boundaries.

The governing body of any responsible unit may designate, by contract, another unit of government to be the responsible unit, if it has that unit of government's consent. These multiple-municipality responsible units consist of counties, solid waste management commissions or two or more neighboring municipalities. Federally recognized Native American tribes or bands may also become responsible units.

Each responsible unit must develop and implement a program to manage the solid waste generated within its jurisdiction in compliance with the 1991, 1993 and 1995 bans and the state's solid waste management priorities. The allowable ways this may be done are: (a) manage materials subject to the 1995 bans in an "effective recycling program" and comply with the 1991 and 1993 bans; or (b) burn combustible materials subject to the 1995 bans in a "grandfathered" incinerator (described in the section on exceptions to the bans), manage the non-combustibles in an effective recycling program and comply with the 1991 and 1993 bans.

Each responsible unit is required to provide information to people in its region about the electronic device landfilling ban, why it is important to recycle electronic devices, and opportunities available to those persons for recycling electronic devices.

Responsible units are authorized to designate one or more persons to implement specific components of the solid waste management program and are authorized to adopt an ordinance to enforce this program.

Responsible units may charge recycling fees, defined as any special assessment or charge levied for services provided by responsible units, or other parties, including private parties, that relate to the responsible unit's duties to operate a solid waste management program. Unpaid recycling fees are a lien on the property against which the fees are levied and are to be collected in the same manner as delinquent property taxes.

No officer, official, agent or employee of a responsible unit may be held liable for civil damages as a result of good faith actions taken by that person within the scope of that person's duties relating to the responsible unit's recycling program or recycling site or facility.

Any responsible unit that accepts funding from the municipal and county recycling grant program, or a county or municipality within such a responsible unit, is prohibited from regulating the sale or distribution of packaging for a purpose relating to its disposal unless that restriction is consistent with current law relating to marketing and trade practices or solid waste regulation. For example, a municipality that accepts grant funding may not ban retail sales of products packaged in a certain type of plastic in order to reduce the disposal problems associated with that plastic. The unit of government also may not impose a tax or fee on the sale or distribution of the packaging for a purpose related to its disposal.

Under 2015 Wisconsin Act 302, local governments are not allowed to regulate the use of auxiliary containers, such as single-use bags, cups, bottles, and cans, that are intended to transport food or other items from a food or retail establishment. Local governments are not allowed to: (a) enact or enforce an ordinance that regulates the use,

disposition or sale of auxiliary containers; (b) prohibit or restrict auxiliary containers; or (c) impose a fee, charge, or surcharge on auxiliary containers.

#### **Effective Recycling Programs**

A responsible unit's compliance with its recycling responsibilities relating to the 1995 landfill and incineration bans is determined by whether it is judged to have an "effective recycling program." The designation of an effective recycling program is significant because, beginning in 1995, a responsible unit must have an approved effective recycling program in order to: (a) landfill or incinerate certain materials in the state; and (b) to apply for state recycling grant funds.

Materials subject to the 1995 ban may generally only be landfilled or incinerated in the state if they are the "residuals" (in this context, materials remaining after other like materials have been separated for recycling) from an effective recycling program, or qualify under one of the other exceptions.

All 1,071 responsible units have received approval from DNR as having effective recycling programs. The approval is valid as long as the local program is operated in a manner that maintains the required components of an effective recycling program.

Local programs are required to submit an annual report to DNR by April 30, for the preceding calendar year, that describes their effective recycling program. DNR administrative rules require that the report contain specific information regarding how the responsible unit is complying with effective program requirements. DNR staff review the reports and perform program evaluations to determine the compliance of the responsible unit with the effective program requirements.

**Required Components of an Effective Program.** Under requirements in Chapter 287 of the statutes and administrative rule NR 544, a

responsible unit is required to administer an effective recycling program that has all of the following components:

- A local ordinance to require recycling of the banned materials in all residences and non-residential facilities and properties. The ordinance must: (a) prohibit the landfilling or burning of materials subject to the 1995 bans that are separated for recycling; (b) require residents of single-family, two- to four-unit residences, multiple-family dwellings, and non-residential properties to separate the banned materials from solid waste or send the materials to a materials recovery facility for recycling; (c) require owners of multi-family dwellings and non-residential properties to provide recycling containers; (d) require owners of nonresidential properties to notify users of how to recycle materials; and (e) provide for enforcement and penalties.
- 2. A public education and information program about how to recycle materials, reduce waste and reuse materials.
- 3. A method for collecting, processing and marketing of recyclables from single-family and two- to four-unit residences.
- 4. Curbside collection in urban municipalities with populations of 5,000 or greater and a population density greater than 70 persons per square mile. These municipalities must provide, at least monthly, curbside collection of materials that are set out at the curb of the residence where they were generated, from single-family and two- to four-unit residences for at least newspaper, glass, aluminum and steel containers, plastic containers made of PETE (polyethylene terephthalate or #1 plastic) or HDPE (high density polyethylene or #2 plastic), and either corrugated paper or magazines, and must provide drop-off collection for materials that are not collected curbside.
- 5. Drop-off collection in rural municipalities with populations of less than 5,000 or a population

density of 70 persons per square mile or less. These municipalities must provide collection of materials at centralized locations where people who generate the recyclables deliver or "drop off" the materials for single-family and two- to four-unit residences.

- 6. Collection of eight recyclable materials that equals or exceeds 83.7 pounds per person per year in rural municipalities or 108.2 pounds per person per year in urban municipalities.
- 7. Equipment and staff necessary to operate and enforce the program.
- 8. Provisions for the management of postconsumer waste that is generated within the responsible unit.
- 9. A reasonable effort to reduce the amount of recyclable materials subject to the 1995 landfill bans that are generated as solid waste and disposed of in a landfill.
- 10. A compliance assurance plan describing how the responsible unit will address non-compliance with recycling requirements specified in its recycling ordinance.
- 11. Submittal of an annual program report to DNR that describes how the local program meets state requirements.

Implementation of Effective Recycling Programs. The structure of individual local recycling programs varies. Responsible units generally collect recyclable materials through curbside or drop-off collection.

In 2017, 30% of the state's population lived in responsible units that only had curbside collection programs, 59% lived in responsible units with combination of curbside and drop-off collection and 11% lived in responsible units where only drop-off collection was reported as the primary collection system. The percentage of responsible units with a combination of curbside and drop-off

collection is high because: (a) approximately 25% of the population of the state is served by county responsible units, in which some member communities may have curbside collection and others have drop-off, so the county is reported as having both; and (b) in some responsible units with both curbside and drop-off programs, the drop-off collection is mainly used for items that are not picked up at curbside.

DNR estimates that in 2017, over 97% of the population in responsible units with populations over 2,000 had access to curbside collection or a combination of curbside and drop-off collection. Approximately 66% of the population in responsible units with populations less than 2,000 had access to curbside collection or a combination of curbside and drop-off collection.

Responsible units may choose to own or operate a materials recovery facility (MRF) as part of their effective recycling program, or contract with a separately-owned MRF, or neither. A materials recovery facility is a facility where materials banned from landfills, and not mixed with other solid waste, are processed for reuse or recycling. A MRF is required to submit a self-certification form to DNR that the facility complies with state requirements before the MRF begins to serve a responsible unit. The MRF must also annually submit a certification renewal and report to DNR.

Responsible units reported to DNR that they collected a total of 678,840 tons of recyclable materials from residences in 2017. Approximately 62% of recyclable materials collected in 2017 were materials subject to the 1995 bans, 35% was yard waste subject to the 1993 bans, and the remaining 3% were other recyclable materials. Residential recycling programs collected an average of 145 pounds per capita of the 1995 banned materials in 2017, and an average of approximately 234 pounds of recyclable materials per capita of the recyclable materials reported to DNR. The amount of recyclable materials collected by responsible units in 1994 through 2017, as reported

to DNR, is shown in Appendix I.

DNR contracted with private entities between 1990 and 2009 to conduct waste characterization studies of recyclable materials. DNR used data from annual reports submitted by responsible units in 2005 and 2006 to estimate that collected recyclable materials represented a statewide average of 24% of municipal solid waste generated. DNR also estimated that the total landfill diversion rate, including composting or yard waste managed at home, and incineration with energy recovery, represented approximately 36% of municipal solid waste generated in 2006. The actual recycling rates vary among municipalities. DNR indicated the 2009 study data was not sufficient to update the 2006 estimates, and has not updated estimates since then.

Review and Enforcement of Effective Program Requirements. DNR is required to administer compliance of responsible units with effective recycling program criteria. The Department is also required to annually review the programs of at least 5% of the responsible unit grant recipients to ensure that programs and activities funded by responsible units meet statutory requirements.

Between July, 2016, and June, 2018, DNR reviewed the compliance of effective programs by conducting approximately 30 one-on-one phone conversations with selected responsible units, and holding group evaluations attended by approximately 182 representatives of approximately 160 responsible units. DNR staff work related to responsible units is performed by Madison central office recycling grants staff when they review grant-eligible expenses, and by selected review of annual reports.

The Department conducts an annual statewide awards program to recognize responsible units that had outstanding performance during the prior year. The program recognizes local efforts in the categories of projects and initiatives, overall program, special events, and innovation. In each of 2009 through 2018, DNR notified a few responsible units of minor noncompliance issues through letters, discussions or meetings, but the issues were not serious enough to issue a notice of noncompliance. Examples of noncompliance concerns included responsible units not doing a sufficient job of: (a) providing adequate collection of recyclables; (b) requiring businesses to recycle; (c) inspecting businesses or apartments for compliance; (d) completing a compliance assurance plan; (e) submitting an annual report in a timely manner; and (f) providing adequate recycling education. DNR negotiated corrective action with the responsible units, and all required corrective action was completed.

DNR notifies responsible units that if they do not submit the required annual recycling report by the deadline of April 30 of the year following the calendar year of the grant, they will be placed on probation until the timely submittal of the following year's annual report. If they do not submit the following year's annual report, DNR invoices the responsible unit for repayment of the prior recycling grant, and denies payment of the current grant. DNR did not invoice any responsible unit for repayment of their grant in 2017 or 2018.

In 2017, DNR placed seven responsible units on probation for submitting the 2016 annual report after May 1, 2017. All submitted their report by July 31, 2017, and were not subject to additional enforcement action. In addition, two responsible units (the Village of Couderay in Sawyer County and Town of Farmington in Jefferson County) did not submit their annual reports in 2017 after not doing so in 2016 and being issued a notice of noncompliance. Both responsible units were issued another notice of noncompliance in 2017, and subsequently submitted their annual reports. In 2018, DNR placed eight responsible units on probation for submitting the 2017 annual report after April 30, 2018, and all submitted their reports by July 31, 2018, to avoid further enforcement action. DNR also placed one responsible unit on probation for failure to send recyclable materials to a certified materials recovery facility. The Town of Farmington submitted an annual report after April 30, 2018, and before July 31, 2018, and was placed on probation without additional enforcement action.

DNR has worked with responsible units to resolve a small number of complaints, such as: (a) a waste hauler collecting separated recyclables with solid waste and landfilling all of the materials; (b) businesses, apartment buildings, or residences not separating recyclables; (c) a responsible unit not collecting for recycling all materials banned from landfills; (d) responsible units or solid waste haulers not separating recyclable materials from solid waste; and (e) materials recovery facilities storing recyclable materials outside.

For 2017, responsible units reported to DNR that they took the following actions related to enforcing landfill bans: (a) received 10,244 complaints; (b) issued 2,488 verbal warnings; (c) issued 8,729 written warnings; (d) issued 28,795 warning tags; (e) issued 1,846 citations; and (f) made 1,833 inspections. Almost all the actions under (a) through (e) related to recycling in one- to four-unit dwellings. All of the inspections were related to multi-family dwellings and businesses. DNR does not have information about the reasons for the complaints, or the types of citations.

Waiver to the Effective Program Criteria. In October, 1996, DNR issued a waiver to the collection and disposal requirements for #3 through #7 plastic containers and polystyrene foam packaging, based on a departmental determination that it is not feasible or practical to continue collecting these materials under current market conditions. The waiver will continue until one year after DNR determines that markets are available for these materials. Issuance of a waiver eliminates for effective recycling programs the requirement to separate those recyclable materials, and the prohibition on disposal or incineration of those materials.

Out-of-State Waste. In 1999, the U.S. Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals ruled that Wisconsin could not require governmental units located outside Wisconsin to enact local ordinances in compliance with Wisconsin's effective recycling programs in order to dispose of solid waste in Wisconsin. Consequently, DNR does not enforce effective recycling program criteria on waste disposed of in Wisconsin landfills, if the waste is generated out-of-state.

#### **Solid Waste Haulers**

Haulers who collect and transport solid waste are required to be licensed by DNR under solid waste management statutes and are required to comply with the solid waste landfill bans. Haulers who collect and transport municipal solid waste are required to notify their clients (the contracting entity or the entity that arranges for collection and transportation service) of the need to comply with state and local recycling requirements. Haulers are also required to provide information to responsible units about the amount of recyclable materials collected under contract with the responsible unit, within four weeks of a written request from the responsible unit.

DNR sends annual notifications to licensed haulers of solid waste and recyclable materials as part of the annual license renewal process to review the recycling and landfill ban requirements. This includes reminding haulers of the requirements that haulers must: (a) annually notify their customers about state and local recycling requirements and landfill bans; (b) keep collected recyclable materials separate from solid waste; (c) maintain separated recyclables in clean condition; and (d) report the weight of collected recyclables to contracted responsible units.

In 2016 through July, 2018, DNR staff responded to a small number of citizen complaints

about possible cases of landfilling of mixed recyclables and trash by a small number of haulers. DNR resolved the complaints through letters or other communication to the haulers and did not issue a notice of noncompliance.

#### Municipal and County Recycling Grant Program

The municipal and county recycling grant program was created in 1989 Act 335 to provide financial assistance to responsible units for eligible recycling expenses.

#### **Appropriations**

The municipal and county recycling grant program was appropriated \$19,000,000 annually in each of 2017-18 and 2018-19. In addition, \$1,000,000 was appropriated in each year for recycling consolidation grants. The recycling consolidation grant program is a voluntary program for municipalities that have taken certain actions, and is described in a later section. Appendix II shows annual appropriations for the two grant program components from 1990-91 through 2018-19.

#### **Eligibility for Grant Awards**

Responsible units with DNR-approved effective recycling programs are eligible for grants under the municipal and county recycling grant program. Eligible uses of grant funds include expenses for planning, constructing or operating one or more of the components of an effective recycling program, or to comply with the 1993 yard waste ban. Eligible capital expenses include annual depreciation, or equipment on an hourly use basis.

Responsible units are required to submit an application, with estimated net eligible recycling costs, by October 1 for a grant for the following calendar year. DNR pays the grant award by June

1 of the calendar grant year.

Current Grant Formula. Beginning in the 2001 grant year through the 2019 grant year, responsible units receive a grant equal to the same percentage of the total grant funding as the responsible unit received, or would have received, in 1999. For example, if a responsible unit received 1% of the total grant funds in 1999, the responsible unit receives 1% of the total grant funds in 2018. Appendix III describes the prior recycling grant program formulas for 1990 through 2000.

Late applications receive a reduced grant as follows: (a) 95% of the awarded amount if submitted between October 2 and October 10; (b) 90% if submitted between October 11 and October 20; (c) 75% if submitted between October 21 and October 30; and (d) no grant if submitted after October 30. A submittal is considered made by the date the application is submitted online or the postmark date if mailed.

Grant Awards. For the 28 grant periods through 2018 (2017-18 grants), Appendix IV shows the number of responsible units of government eligible for awards, the total award amount, and the average award per capita. Appendix IV includes information about the basic grants for all years, supplemental grants in 1994 through 1999, recycling efficiency incentive grants between 2003 and 2011, and recycling consolidation grants in 2012 through 2018.

#### Awards as a Percentage of Recycling Costs.

Table 2 shows the total state grant award as a percentage of the net eligible recycling costs. In 1992, the first year of the grant formula, grant awards averaged 52% of net eligible recycling costs. The award as a percentage of costs decreased in subsequent years to an estimated 16.6% in 2018. (Net eligible recycling costs were not calculated in the first grant cycle, which covered 1990 and 1991.)

Table 2: Municipal and County Recycling Grants: Eligible Cost, Grant Award and Award as Percentage of Costs (\$ in Millions)

Calendar Year	Net Eligible Recycling Costs	Award* Amount	Grant Award as % of Net Eligible Costs
1992	\$35.6	\$18.5	52.0%
1993	48.5	23.7	48.9
1994	56.5	29.8	52.7
1995	61.0	29.1	47.7
1996	66.3	29.2	44.0
1997	68.8	29.2	42.4
1998	71.4	23.9	33.5
1999	73.3	24.1	32.9
2000	76.6	24.3	31.7
2001	84.1	24.3	28.9
2002	82.6	24.3	29.4
2003	84.4	26.3	31.2
2004	85.7	26.4	30.8
2005	90.1	26.3	29.2
2006	94.0	26.3	28.0
2007	98.4	26.3	26.7
2008	99.1	32.7	33.0
2009	108.0	29.3	27.2
2010	104.0	29.3	28.2
2011	103.5	19.0	18.3
2012	105.9	20.0	18.9
2013	109.3	20.0	18.3
2014	112.2	20.0	17.8
2015	116.7	20.0	17.1
2016	116.5	19.0	16.3
2017	119.3	20.0	16.8
2018**	120.8	20.0	16.6

<sup>\*</sup>In the 2003 through 2011 grant years, includes basic grant plus efficiency incentive grant. As of 2012, includes basic grant plus consolidation grant.

#### 2018 Award Characteristics

In 2017-18, for calendar year 2018, DNR issued awards in May of 2018 totaling \$19,000,000 for basic municipal and county recycling grants and \$1,000,000 for recycling consolidation grants. While the 2018 combined basic plus consolidation grant awards averaged 16.6% of the estimated \$120.8 million in net eligible recycling costs, the award as a percentage of net eligible recycling costs varied considerably for individual responsible units.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Estimated net eligible recycling costs.

The 2018 basic grant amount was calculated as the same percentage of the 2018 award amount of \$19.0 million as the responsible unit received or would have received of the 1999 appropriation of \$24,000,000. The actual grant amount for each responsible unit was capped by the projected net eligible recycling costs for the responsible unit, and was reduced by any late application penalty. The recycling consolidation grant amount was calculated by adding the population of all responsible units that were eligible for the recycling consolidation grant, and dividing the \$1,000,000 recycling consolidation grant appropriation by that population total, to reach a per capita consolidation grant amount of \$0.26.

For the 2018 grant year, Tables 3 through 7 show the distribution of grant awards in several different ways and include the population represented by the responsible units receiving those awards, the net eligible recycling costs, the total grant award, the average per capita grant award, and the grant award as a percentage of net eligible recycling costs.

Table 3 shows the distribution of 2018 basic plus consolidation grant awards by type of local government unit. While 57.8% of the responsible units were towns, towns represented 15.3% of the population of responsible units that received grant awards and 10.8% of the total grant award dollars. Responsible units that are cities represented 45.0% of the population and 47.8% of the total grant award dollars. While the statewide average award as a percentage of the net eligible recycling costs was 16.6% and the average award per capita was \$3.47, these measurements varied by responsible unit.

Most of the responsible unit grant recipients had populations under 2,500. As shown in the Table 4 distribution by population size, the 739 responsible units with populations under 2,500 represented 71.6% of the responsible units that received grants, 13.0% of the population served through the grants and 11.4% of the total grant

award dollars in 2018. In comparison, six responsible units with populations of 100,000 or greater represented 0.6% of the responsible units, but included 27.2% of the population that received grants and 28.3% of the total grant award dollars in 2018.

Table 5 lists the number and total dollar amount of 2018 recycling grant awards received by the size of the award and includes the population represented within each category. Table 5 shows that 635 grant awards, totaling \$1,262,775, were less than \$5,000 each, and were made to responsible units representing a total population of 616,031. These grants represent approximately 10.7% of the population of grantees and 6.3% of the awarded grant dollars. Four grant awards were each \$500,000 or larger, totaling \$4,733,805, and were made to approximately 23.6% of the population served, with approximately 23.7% of the grant dollars awarded in 2018.

Table 6 shows that the distribution of grants by per capita category varied among responsible units. Approximately 32.0% of the grantees, with 13.4% of the total grantee population, received awards that averaged less than \$2 per capita, with awards averaging 8.0% of total net eligible recycling costs. In comparison, 21 responsible units, with 1.4% of the total grantee population, received awards that averaged \$8 and over per capita, with these awards averaging 30.5% of the net eligible recycling costs of the 21 responsible units.

Table 7 shows the distribution of grants by grant award as a percentage of the net eligible recycling costs. The award as a percentage of net eligible recycling costs varied widely, ranging from 1% to 100% of net eligible recycling costs. In the group of 30 responsible units that had awards that averaged 60% or more of net eligible costs, the per capita award ranged from \$0.38 to \$17.68. Nine of the 30 responsible units received awards equaling 100% of net eligible recycling costs. The variation in the award as a percentage of net eligible cost is due to factors such as: (a) what activities respon-

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} Table 3: 2018 & Municipal and & County & Recycling & Grants to & Responsible & Units & (RUs) & by & Governmental & Unit & Type \\ \end{tabular}$ 

Type	Number of RUs	Population	Net Eligible Recycling Costs	Combined Basic Plus Consolidation Grant Award	Average Per Capita Grant Award	Average Award as a % of Net Eligible Recycling Costs
Town	597	883,032	\$17,351,008	\$2,151,007	\$2.44	12.4%
Village	250	767,170	20,474,906	2,273,523	2.96	11.1
City	130	2,592,673	61,625,138	9,550,399	3.68	15.5
County	34	1,452,899	19,632,117	5,738,246	3.95	29.2
Tribal Govern	nment 10	21,148	1,063,934	156,797	7.41	14.7
Other	11	43,796	670,174	130,024	2.97	19.4
Total	1,032	5,760,718	\$120,817,277	\$19,999,995	\$3.47	16.6%

Table 4: 2018 Municipal and County Recycling Grants to Responsible Units (RUs) by Population Size

Population	Number of RUs	Population	Net Eligible Recycling Costs	Combined Basic Plus Consolidation Grant Award	Average Per Capita Grant Award	Average Award as a % of Net Eligible Recycling Costs
Less than 2,500	739	751,601	\$ 17,851,614	\$ 2,271,626	\$ 3.02	12.7%
2,500 to 4,999	120	417,995	9,537,390	1,290,041	3.09	13.5
5,000 to 9,999	72	517,169	12,037,071	1,671,143	3.23	13.9
10.000 to 24.999	60	946,478	21,830,024	3,505,172	3.70	16.1
25,000 to 49,999	26	942,528	16,071,078	3,372,802	3.58	21.0
50,000 to 99,999	9	618,151	10,861,691	2,234,502	3.61	20.6
100,000 and over	6	1,566,796	32,628,409	5,654,708	3.61	17.3
Total	1,032	5,760,718	\$120,817,277	\$19,999,995	\$3.47	16.6%

Table 5: 2018 Municipal and County Recycling Grants to Responsible Units (RUs) by Amount of Award

Award Amount	Number of RUs	Population	Net Eligible Recycling Costs	Combined Basic Plus Consolidation Grant Award	Average Per Capita Grant Award	Average Award as a % of Net Eligible Recycling Costs
\$1 to \$4,999	635	616,031	\$11,458,699	\$1,262,775	\$2.05	11.0%
5,000 to 9,999	167	413,106	9,615,545	1,214,804	2.94	12.6
10,000 to 24,999	111	615,157	16,380,452	1,764,198	2.87	10.8
25,000 to 49,999	38	410,628	9,917,321	1,305,823	3.18	13.2
50,000 to 99,999	45	770,899	15,414,054	3,387,150	4.39	22.0
100,000 to 499,999	32	1,577,984	31,296,717	6,331,440	4.01	20.2
500,000 and over	4	1,356,913	26,734,489	4,733,805	3.49	17.7
Total	1,032	5,760,718	\$120,817,277	\$19,999,995	\$3.47	16.6%

Table 6: 2018 Municipal and County Recycling Grants to Responsible Units (RUs) by Award Per Capita

Award Per Capita	Number of RUs	Population	Net Eligible Recycling Costs	Combined Basic Plus Consolidation Grant Award	Average Per Capita Grant Award	Average Award as a % of Net Eligible Recycling Costs
\$0.01 to \$0.99	103	172,787	\$2,679,404	\$114,419	\$0.66	4.3%
1.00 to 1.99	227	601,849	10,212,174	919,726	1.53	9.0
2.00 to 2.99	226	1,032,778	18,359,410	2,645,603	2.56	14.4
3.00 to 3.99	253	2,809,147	59,194,779	10,053,241	3.58	17.0
4.00 to 5.99	143	863,206	21,571,848	3,979,975	4.61	18.4
6.00 to 7.99	59	198,788	5,800,358	1,373,676	6.91	23.7
8.00 to 9.99	7	57,087	1,442,884	490,628	8.59	34.0
10.00 and over	14	25,076	1,556,420	422,725	16.86	27.2
Total	1,032	5,760,718	\$120,817,277	\$19,999,995	\$3.47	16.55%

Table 7: 2018 Municipal and County Recycling Grants to Responsible Units (RUs) by Award as a Percentage of Net Eligible Recycling Costs

Award as % of Net Eligible Recycling Costs	Number of RUs	Population	Net Eligible Recycling Costs	Combined Basic Plus Consolidation Grant Award	Average Per Capita Grant Award	Average Award as a % of Net Eligible Recycling Costs
0.01% to 9.99%	285	1,142,731	\$39,217,751	\$3,043,643	\$2.66	7.8%
10 to 19.99	417	2,100,371	47,002,753	6,948,252	3.31	14.8
20 to 29.99	168	1,295,963	22,251,271	4,757,051	3.67	21.4
30 to 39.99	73	564,979	7,238,445	2,408,190	4.26	33.3
40 to 49.99	39	156,649	1,742,642	748,903	4.78	43.0
50 to 59.99	20	357,108	2,286,038	1,219,644	3.42	53.4
60 to 100	30	142,917	1,078,377	874,312	6.12	81.1
Total	1,032	5,760,718	\$120,817,277	\$19,999,995	\$3.47	16.6%

sible units choose to include in their recycling program; (b) what activities responsible units included in 1999 when the current formula was created, as responsible units since 1999 have received the same percentage of the total grant as they received in 1999; (c) the costs of various curbside collection or drop-off collection program components; and (d) the costs of transportation of collection activities in densely or sparsely populated responsible units.

Table 8 lists the 71 responsible units with grant awards of \$60,000 or greater for the 2018 grant year. These responsible units include 32 cities, 33 counties, and six villages. Grants to the 71 responsible units include 62.0% of the total grantee population and 69.5% of the total grant

award dollars paid.

The grant award for the 71 responsible units as a percentage of net eligible recycling costs varied from 9.2% to 100%, depending on the 1999 grant amount and estimated net eligible costs.

#### **Recycling Consolidation Grant Program**

In 2011 Wisconsin Act 32, a recycling consolidation grant program was created. The program has been appropriated \$1,000,000 annually in 2011-12 through 2018-19 from the segregated environmental management account.

Table~8:~2018~Municipal~and~County~Recycling~Grants~to~Responsible~Units~(RUs)~-~Largest~71~Grant~Awards~Includes~All~Awards~of~\$60,000~or~Greater

Municipality/County	Population	Net Eligible Recycling Costs	Combined Basic Plus Consolidation Grant Award	Per Capita Grant Award	Award as a % of Net Eligible Recycling Costs
Milwaukee, City	591,076	\$11,464,397	\$2,311,905	\$3.91	20.2%
Waukesha, County	314,170	6,177,423	1,049,394	3.34	17.0
Madison, City	250,073	8,057,655	803,841	3.21	10.0
Outagamie, County	201,594	1,035,014	568,665	2.82	54.9
Eau Claire, County	104,440	1,367,350	487,735	4.67	35.7
Green Bay, City	105,443	4,526,570	433,169	4.11	9.6
Kenosha, City	99,116	1,236,857	387,786	3.91	31.4
Racine, City	77,860	2,893,056	314,573	4.04	10.9
West Allis, City	59,652	1,343,348	253,214	4.24	18.8
Oshkosh, City	66,636	1,158,610	236,969	3.56	20.5
Janesville, City	63,215	1,179,203	225,617	3.57	19.1
Portage, County	62,450	1,111,430	219,770	3.52	19.8
Chippewa, County	59,328	684,419	218,538	3.68	31.9
Manitowoc, City	33,572	415,600	216,482	6.45	52.1
Neenah, City	25,976	1,205,419	201,821	7.77	16.7
Pierce, County	42,501	636,157	201,471	4.74	31.7
Saint Croix, County	77,568	382,124	197,223	2.54	51.6
Sheboygan, City	48,402	1,184,665	192,905	3.99	16.3
Wauwatosa, City	47,389	495,132	185,626	3.92	37.5
La Crosse, City	52,326	872,644	180,812	3.46	20.7
Waupaca, County	41,341	569,318	172,685	4.18	30.3
Oconto, County	20,052	244,032	165,336	8.25	67.8
Polk, County	44,077	504,444	159,423	3.62	31.6
Dunn, County	42,026	879,197	156,917	3.73	17.8
Fond Du Lac, City	43,679	702,968	156,544	3.58	22.3
Wausau, City	38,652	691,443	147,017	3.80	21.3
Monroe, County	44,922	593,400	144,411	3.21	24.3
Vernon, County	30,427	633,029	142,222	4.67	22.5
Columbia, County	41,824	923,000	137,980	3.30	14.9
Beloit, City	36,520	894,756	137,374	3.76	15.4
Greenfield, City	36,294	703,544	123,968	3.42	17.6
Vilas, County	21,607	437,374	115,758	5.36	26.5
West Bend, City	31,546	760,718	108,251	3.43	14.2
Watertown, City	24,031	1,151,430	105,392	4.39	9.2
Fitchburg, City	27,936	513,480	103,921	3.72	20.2
Superior, City	27,176	402,000	100,531	3.70	25.0
Buffalo, County	10,823	233,436	98,926	9.14	42.4
Richland, County	17,117	229,750	98,841	5.77	43.0
Allouez, Village	13,784	549,901	98,423	7.14	17.9
De Pere, City	24,721	732,588	97,568	3.95	13.3
Adams, County Oak Creek, City Taylor, County Iron, County Barron, County	18,658	238,136	96,978	5.20	40.7
	35,560	616,601	94,199	2.65	15.3
	16,222	262,991	88,721	5.47	33.7
	5,927	108,600	88,326	14.90	81.3
	34,656	351,289	88,220	2.55	25.1

Table 8: 2018 Municipal and County Recycling Grants to Responsible Units (RUs) - Largest 71 Grant Awards Includes All Awards of \$60,000 or Greater (continued)

Municipality/County	Population	Net Eligible Recycling Costs	Combined Basic Plus Consolidation Grant Award	Per Capita Grant Award	Award as a % of Net Eligible Recycling Costs
Burnett, County Waushara, County Door, County Oneida, County Jackson, County	15,173 23,569 28,340 27,010 20,365	\$95,402 234,071 411,720 206,300 199,000	\$87,563 87,037 86,584 86,240 84,815	\$5.77 3.69 3.06 3.19 4.16	91.8% 37.2 21.0 41.8 42.6
Washburn, County Two Rivers, City Rusk, County Forest, County South Milwaukee, City	15,869 11,482 13,279 9,256 20,910	99,696 375,800 82,022 105,197 447,041	83,355 83,013 82,022 81,643 81,307	5.25 7.23 6.18 8.82 3.89	83.6 22.1 100.0 77.6 18.2
Menominee, County Menomonee Falls, Village Marquette, County Florence, County Weston, Village	4,248 37,413 14,320 4,468 15,937	158,200 509,200 150,549 78,997 359,192	80,347 80,027 79,002 78,997 78,735	18.91 2.14 5.52 17.68 4.94	50.8 15.7 52.5 100.0 21.9
Ashwaubenon, Village Monroe, City Wisconsin Rapids, City Menasha, City Franklin, City	16,867 10,680 18,546 17,647 36,046	539,081 375,333 468,253 626,645 485,583	76,043 74,299 71,997 70,100 68,984	4.51 6.96 3.88 3.97 1.91	14.1 19.8 15.4 11.2 14.2
Pepin, County Cudahy, City Whitefish Bay, Village Marshfield, City Glendale, City	8,154 18,186 14,178 19,061 12,604	89,219 599,609 389,721 372,102 456,071	67,388 66,832 63,722 61,915 61,419	8.26 3.67 4.49 3.25 4.87	75.5 11.1 16.4 16.6 13.5
Caledonia, Village	24,820	509,500	60,899	2.45	12.0
Largest Grants, \$60,000 or Greater	3,570,793	\$69,778,002	\$13,899,733	\$3.89	19.9%
Small Grants Less Than \$60,000	2,189,925	\$51,039,275	\$6,100,262	\$2.79	12.0%
Statewide Total, 1,032 Grants	5,760,718	\$120,817,277	\$19,999,995	\$3.47	16.6%
71 Largest Grants, % of Total	62.0%	57.8%	69.5%		

DNR is required to distribute the funds on a per capita basis to responsible units that operate effective recycling programs and meet one of the following criteria:

1. The responsible unit is a county.

- 2. The responsible unit is a federally recognized Indian tribe or band.
- 3. The responsible unit has a population of 25,000 or more and consists of one or more municipalities.

Table 9: Recycling Consolidation Grants, 2014-15 Through 2017-18

Eligibility Category	Number of RUs	Population	Award Amount
2014-15 Grants			
County	34	1,425,425	\$371,877
Tribal Government	10	21,148	5,517
Population > 25,000	25	1,920,734	501,097
Cooperative Agreement Total	132 201	465,752 3,833,059	\$1,000,000
Per Capita grant = \$0.261	201	3,033,039	Ψ1,000,000
2015-16 Grants			
County	34	1,430,937	\$374,760
Tribal Government	10	21,148	5,539
Population > 25,000	25	1,924,140	503,930
Cooperative Agreement	<u>124</u>	442,047	115,772
Total	193	3,818,272	\$1,000,000
Per Capita grant = $$0.262$			
2016-17 Grants			
County	34	1,465,660	\$375,723
Tribal Government	10	21,148	5,421
Population > 25,000	25	1,931,716	495,196
Cooperative Agreement	<u>128</u>	482,385	123,660
Total	197	3,900,909	\$1,000,000
Per Capita grant = $$0.256$			
2017-18 Grants			
County	34	1,452,899	\$376,174
Tribal Government	10	21,148	5,475
Population > 25,000	25	1,930,801	499,909
Cooperative Agreement	<u>128</u>	457,458	118,442
Total	197	3,862,306	\$1,000,000
Per Capita grant = $$0.259$			

The responsible unit is not eligible under (1) through (3) above, but one of the following applies by October 1 in the year before the grant year: (a) the responsible unit consists of what had previously been at least two responsible units; or (b) the responsible unit enters into a cooperative agreement with another responsible unit for the joint provision of at least one of the following elements of an effective recycling program: (1) performing comprehensive program planning; (2) collecting and transporting recyclable materials; (3) sorting recyclable materials at a materials recovery facility; (4) developing and distributing education materials relating to waste reduction, reuse, and recycling; (5) carrying out a program of technical assistance to businesses and owners and occupants of multifamily dwellings to increase the availability and convenience of recycling; or (6)

any other program element approved by DNR.

The sum of the recycling consolidation grant and basic grant for specific responsible units cannot exceed the amount of eligible recycling costs. Table 9 summarizes the recycling consolidation grants awarded in 2014-15 through 2017-18 by type of eligibility. If a county also has a population that exceeds 25,000, or also qualified through having a cooperative agreement, it is listed only under "County." If a city or village with a population over 25,000 also qualified through a cooperative agreement, it is only listed in the category of "Population over 25,000." The table includes the number of responsible units that received recycling consolidation grants, total population of those responsible units, amount of award received by the category, and the per capita award amount.

#### **Electronics Recycling Program**

DNR administers the electronics recycling program established under 2009 Wisconsin Act 50. DNR refers to the program as "E-Cycle Wisconsin." The program includes requirements for sales and recycling of covered electronic devices used by households, public K-12 schools, and private school choice program schools (covered schools). It also includes requirements for manufacturers, retailers, collectors, and recyclers of covered electronic devices. Covered electronic devices include televisions and computer monitors with a tube or screen at least seven inches at its longest diagonal measurement, computers, and printers.

#### **Sale of Covered Electronic Devices**

Since February 1, 2010, a manufacturer may only sell, offer to sell, or deliver to a retailer for subsequent sale, covered electronic devices to households or covered schools if the manufacturer labels the devices, recycles or arranges for recycling the devices, registers with DNR, pays annual registration fees, submits annual reports to DNR, finances and ensures the recycling of a certain amount of electronics annually, and pays shortfall fees if it recycles less than certain target amounts.

Since July 1, 2010, a retailer may only sell or offer to sell a new covered electronic device to a household or covered school if the retailer determines that the brand of covered electronic device is on the DNR's Internet site list of registered manufacturers.

#### **Requirements for Manufacturers**

The program defines manufacturers as any person who: (a) manufactures covered electronic devices to be sold under the person's own brand; (b) sells covered electronic devices manufactured by someone else under the person's own brand; or (c)

licenses the person's brand for manufacture and sale of covered electronic devices by others.

Registration and Recycling Targets. Manufacturers are required to register with DNR annually by September 1. Manufacturers must include information in the registration about the brands and weight of covered electronic devices they sell in the state, the total weight of eligible electronic devices used by households or covered schools that were collected by or delivered to the manufacturer for recycling, and other required information.

From July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2017, 175 manufacturers of covered electronic devices registered under the program. For the period July 1, 2017, through June 30, 2018, 171 manufacturers submitted registrations.

A manufacturer is required to achieve a recycling target every year, which is a specified amount of recycling of electronic devices, as determined by weight and a specified formula. A manufacturer is required to recycle 80% of the weight of covered electronic devices it sold to households and covered schools during the 12-month period two years earlier.

A manufacturer may recycle a broader category of "eligible electronic devices" to meet its recycling target. Eligible electronic devices include covered electronic devices (computers, printers, monitors, and televisions), plus devices used by households or covered schools that include computer peripherals (such as keyboards, external hard drives, flash drives, modems, mice, scanners, and speakers used with a computer), facsimile machines, digital video disc (DVD) players, video cassette recorders (VCR), and digital video recorders or players that do not use discs or cassettes.

DNR is authorized to promulgate administrative rule changes to add or subtract types of electronic devices from the list of eligible electronic devices. To date, DNR has not initiated such rule changes.

Manufacturers are subject to a forfeiture of not more than \$10,000 per violation of the electronics recycling statutes.

In July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2017, DNR revoked the registrations of 27 manufacturers for failure to submit required forms or payments, and followed up with nine notices of noncompliance and six notices of violation to manufacturers who were not in compliance with program requirements. Fifteen of the manufacturers came into compliance without further enforcement action. DNR determined that the remaining 12 had either stopped selling covered electronics, or DNR could not find current contact information for them.

In 2017-18, DNR revoked the registrations of seven manufacturers for failure to submit required forms or payments, and followed up with one notice of noncompliance for a revoked brand. Two of the seven, including the one that received the notice of noncompliance, returned to compliance. DNR determined that the remaining five had either stopped selling covered electronics or the Department could not find current contact information for them. DNR also sent notices of noncompliance to manufacturers that were not previously registered. One of the manufacturers registered, and, in the fall of 2018, DNR was in the process of taking enforcement actions with the other three.

#### Registration and Shortfall Fees and Credits.

DNR is required to assess annual registration and shortfall fees to manufacturers. The fees are deposited in the environmental management account of the environmental fund. Fee revenues are only available for expenditure under an appropriation for DNR administration of the electronics recycling program, and cannot be used for other appropriations from the account.

A manufacturer is required to pay annual registration fees by September 1, based on the number

of covered electronic devices it sold during the previous program year (July 1 to June 30). The fees include: (a) \$0, if fewer than 25 devices were sold; (b) \$1,250 if 25 to 249 devices were sold; and (c) \$5,000 if at least 250 devices were sold.

DNR may promulgate an administrative rule to change the registration fee for manufacturers that sell at least 250 devices in the state annually. As of the fall of 2018, DNR had not initiated such rule changes.

In its annual report, the manufacturer is required to report on the weight of eligible electronic devices that it recycled during the prior program year. If the manufacturer does not meet its recycling target, that is, it recycles substantially fewer electronic devices than it sells, it must pay an annual shortfall fee to DNR.

Shortfall fees are calculated on a graduated scale determined by how short of the target recycling weight the manufacturer's actual collections were. The fees are calculated by first subtracting the actual recycling weight from the target recycling weight. The manufacturer is allowed to multiply the total recycled weight that it recycled by 1.25 for eligible electronic devices that it collects in rural counties. This is intended to provide an incentive for manufacturers to collect eligible electronic devices for recycling in rural areas. The statutes designate 33 urban and 39 rural counties for purposes of the collection incentive.

The resulting number of pounds is used to calculate the shortfall fees as follows: (a) 50 cents per pound if the actual weight recycled is less than 50% of the target recycling weight; (b) 40 cents per pound if the actual weight recycled is at least 50% but not more than 90% of the target recycling weight; and (c) 30 cents per pound if the actual weight recycled is more than 90% and less than 100% of the target recycling weight.

Table 10 shows the amount of manufacturer registration and shortfall fees collected in 2009-

10, the first year of the program, through 2017-18. In 2016-17, 96 of 175 registered manufacturers paid registration and shortfall fees totaling \$395,556. The registered manufacturers who did not pay fees were exempt from fees because they sold fewer than 25 units. Of the total fees, \$11,806 in shortfall fees was received from 46 manufacturers. Shortfall fees are received in the fiscal year following the program year assessed. In 2017-18, 100 of 171 registered manufacturers paid registration and shortfall fees totaling \$370,532. Of this total, \$5,532 in shortfall fees was collected from 44 manufacturers.

Table 10: Electronics Recycling Program -- Manufacturer Fees Collected

Year	Registration Fees	Shortfall Fees	Total
2009-10	\$261,250	\$0	\$261,250
2010-11	270,000	0	270,000
2011-12	275,000	8,453	283,453
2012-13	310,000	19,210	329,210
2013-14	310,347	10,107	320,454
2014-15	320,000	9,472	329,472
2015-16	405,667	20,472	426,139
2016-17	383,750	11,806	395,556
2017-18	365,000	5,532	370,532

A manufacturer earns a recycling credit if, for a program year, the weight of eligible electronic devices recycled exceeds the target recycling weight. The manufacturer would be entitled to a number of recycling credits equal to the number of excess pounds, or 20% of the target recycling weight, whichever is less. During the three succeeding program years, the manufacturer could use the credits to help meet its recycling target during that time, or could sell the credits to another manufacturer. In the program year ending June 30, 2018, manufacturers earned recycling credits totaling over 1.1 million pounds, and applied almost 1.4 million pounds to meet recycling targets. There were almost 2.1 million recycling credits available for manufacturers to use or sell to other manufacturers in years after June 30, 2018.

A manufacturer may submit, with its

registration, a request for relief from the shortfall fee in that year. The manufacturer would have to submit information showing that it made good faith progress toward meeting its target recycling weight. If DNR determines that the manufacturer has made good faith progress toward meeting its target recycling weight, the Department would waive the shortfall fee. If not, DNR would notify the manufacturer, and the manufacturer would have to pay the shortfall fee within 60 days after receiving the notification. As of the fall of 2018, no manufacturers had submitted a request.

#### **Requirements for Collectors and Recyclers**

The program specifies that collectors are persons who receive electronic devices from households or covered schools and deliver them to recyclers. Recyclers accept electronics from collectors, households and schools, for the purpose of recycling.

Collectors and recyclers are required to register with DNR annually by August 1. Collectors are required to report to DNR the total weight of eligible electronic devices collected during the preceding program year, and the names of the recyclers to whom the collector delivered the electronic devices. Registered collectors and recyclers may not use prison labor to collect or recycle eligible electronic devices.

Registered recyclers are required to meet additional requirements. These relate to maintaining specified liability insurance, proof of financial responsibility, specific records, a contingency plan for responding to releases of hazardous substances, and compliance with federal, state, and local requirements for storing, transporting, processing, and exporting eligible electronic devices.

Collectors and recyclers are not subject to registration fees. DNR reported that 136 collectors and 19 recyclers were registered with DNR for the program year July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2017. A total of 123 collectors and 17 recyclers had

registered with DNR for the program year July 1, 2017, through June 30, 2018. As of October, 2018, 128 collectors and 16 recyclers had registered for the program year July 1, 2018, through June 30, 2019.

Between July 1, 2017, and June 30, 2018, registered collectors collected 25.6 million pounds of eligible electronics at 522 registered permanent, temporary or one-day event collection sites. Of the total amount collected, approximately 21.6 million pounds (84%) was from urban counties. Of the total amount collected in 2017-18, 60% of the weight collected was televisions, 8% was computers, 6% was computer monitors, and 26% was other eligible electronics such as printers. For the first nine program years, a cumulative total of 280.5 million pounds of electronics was collected between January 1, 2010, and June 30, 2018.

DNR inspected nine recyclers and 60 collection sites between July 1, 2017, and June 30, 2018. DNR also is authorized to audit or contract for the audit of a registered collector or recycler. If the Department does so during the first three years in which the collector or recycler is registered, the collector or recycler is required to pay 25% of the cost of the audit. After the first three years, the collector or recycler will pay 50% of the cost of the audit. The costs paid by the collector or recycler will be deposited in the electronics recycling appropriation. As of the fall of 2018, DNR had not audited any registered collector or recycler. Collectors and recyclers are subject to a forfeiture of not more than \$1,000 per violation.

#### **DNR Administration**

DNR is responsible for administration and collection of electronics recycling program fees, compliance and enforcement, and outreach. DNR is required to maintain an Internet site on which it lists the names of registered manufacturers, the names of brands of electronics listed in the manufacturers' registrations, and the names of registered collectors and recyclers. DNR allocates 2.0 positions from the environmental management account to administer the electronics recycling program. One of the positions is appropriated in an environmental management account appropriation authorized to spend only any electronics registration and shortfall fees. The appropriation may spend all moneys received from the fees, so the appropriation amount is an estimate. The remaining position is appropriated through the DNR recycling administrative appropriation, which is funded from environmental management account revenues other than the electronics fees.

DNR utilizes the positions to coordinate the program, manage and track reporting by regulated entities, collect fees, prepare an annual legislative report, provide outreach and technical assistance, perform enforcement and compliance, conduct inspections of registered recyclers and collectors, respond to complaints, and review paperwork to ensure electronics are properly managed in the recycling process. During the 2017-19 biennium, DNR also paid for two limited-term employees to inspect online and in-store retailers, inspect registered collectors and recyclers, develop public outreach materials, identify new types of electronics, and perform program evaluation. DNR also used electronics fee revenues to purchase national sales data related to sales of electronics, pay for informational technology improvements to reporting and registration, conduct a statewide household survey about electronics, and conduct a stakeholders meeting.

DNR is required to submit an annual report by December 1, to the Legislature and Governor, which includes information related to collection of electronic devices, information provided by manufacturers and recyclers under the program, disposal of eligible electronic devices in landfills, enforcement, and recommendations for the program.

DNR submitted annual reports in 2012 through 2018. The report submitted in November, 2018, included the following information: (a) almost all

manufacturers met or exceeded their recycling targets, with only a small number paying a shortfall fee; (b) the vast majority of manufacturers, recyclers and collectors are complying with the law; and (c) there were registered collection sites in 65 of 72 counties.

In the 2018 report, DNR recommended to: (a) consider changing the annual program year to correspond to a calendar year rather than the state fiscal year; (b) eliminate registration fees for small manufacturers; (c) modify the definition of covered school to include all K-12 schools, rather than K-12 public schools and private school choice schools; (d) modify the manufacturer target formula to be based on the total weight of electronics received for recycling in previous years; (e) modify the rural collection incentive formula to increase access to electronics collection in rural areas of the state; and (f) update and clarify definitions of electronics devices.

If the federal government enacts a law relating to the collection and recycling of covered electronic devices sold in the United States, DNR will be required to prepare a report describing the effect of the federal law and to submit it to the Legislature's standing committees with jurisdiction over solid waste policy. As of the fall of 2018, there have been no federal law changes related to the collection and recycling of electronic devices.

#### Department of Natural Resources Activities

#### **DNR Recycling Staff**

In 2018-19, DNR is authorized 20.4 positions from the segregated environmental management account for work on various recycling activities. This includes the following:

1. The Bureau of Waste and Materials

Management in the Environmental Management Division is authorized 14.5 positions. Staff in the central office perform policy development, administrative, planning, evaluation, markets directory, data management, informational and educational functions, technical assistance and outreach to local governments on recycling, process applications for the municipal and county grant program, and track compliance of responsible units. Regional staff provide support to central staff related to the functions transferred from the regions to the central office. In addition, regional staff provide plan review and technical assistance related to recycling facilities, and for solid waste facilities with a purpose related to recycling, such as facilities that process recyclable materials into usable products. Of the 14.5 positions, 2.0 positions staff the electronics recycling program, as described previously.

- 2. The Office of Business Support and Sustainability is authorized 1.0 business sector specialist to work with communities and businesses to improve performance in business recycling.
- 3. Administration of the recycling grant programs is performed by 2.0 positions in the Bureau of Community Financial Assistance in the External Services Division.
- 4. Recycling enforcement activities are performed by 2.4 positions in the Law Enforcement program. This is provided by allocating a portion of the time of environmental wardens throughout the state. DNR regional recycling specialists also work on enforcement issues.
- 5. DNR also has accounting, purchasing and other financial management recycling-related responsibilities that are performed by 0.5 position.

#### **Technical Assistance and Information**

DNR is responsible for providing technical assistance and comprehensive public information. DNR is required to provide technical assistance to

individuals, groups, businesses, state agencies, counties and municipalities in all aspects of recycling, with an emphasis on material that is easy to read and understand by the general public. This includes: (a) providing information about how to perform a study related to the composition of solid waste; (b) maintaining current estimates of the amount of components of solid waste generated by categories of businesses, industries, municipalities and other governmental entities; (c) providing information about how to manage solid waste consistent with the state's solid waste management priorities; and (d) providing technical assistance to local recycling programs.

The Department is statutorily required to collect, prepare and disseminate information, including educational and training programs, that assist in the implementation of the solid waste management programs. The educational programs must inform the public of the relationship between an individual's consumption of goods and services, the generation of different types and quantities of solid waste and the implementation of the solid waste management priorities. DNR is also required to prepare educational programs on a statewide basis for the following audiences: (a) municipal, county and state officials and employees; (b) kindergarten through graduate students and teachers; (c) private solid waste scrap brokers, dealers and processors; (d) businesses that use or could use recycled materials, or that produce or could produce products from recycled materials, and persons who serve or support these businesses; and (e) the general public.

During the 2017-19 biennium, DNR accomplished these responsibilities as follows:

- 1. Prepared, updated, and distributed fact sheets, newsletters, updates, and publications related to general recycling issues and specific types of recycling.
- 2. Continued to improve DNR Internet web sites to provide information about recycling

programs, legislation, and grant opportunities.

- 3. Provided communication and education tools and resources to responsible units for distribution to their residents, businesses, and institutions.
- 4. Worked with businesses to increase recycling opportunities and use recycled materials in operations.
- 5. Worked with the UW-Green Bay to relaunch, update, and maintain an online recycling markets database.
- 6. Presented and exhibited at various conferences and fairs on general recycling and waste reduction, business and event recycling, plastic film recycling, composting, electronics, universal wastes (such as batteries and fluorescent tubes), and open burning.
- 7. Developed social media posts on recycling, composting, electronics recycling, and hazardous materials management.
- 8. Partnered with a statewide recycling association to develop social media campaigns for Earth Day and America Recycles Day.
- 9. Monitored and provided presentations, press interviews, articles and guidance on impacts of changes in China's waste importation restrictions on Wisconsin's recycling program.
- 10. Participated in an Internet-based green and healthy schools program in partnership with the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction.

#### **Council on Recycling**

The Council on Recycling was statutorily created in 1989 as a part-time advisory body appointed by the Governor and staffed by DNR.

There are seven Council members representing business, government, and the public at large. Each member serves a four-year term.

The Council is directed to: (a) promote the efficient and prompt implementation of state programs relating to solid waste reduction, recovery and recycling; (b) advise and assist state and local agencies in the coordination of these programs and the exchange of information related to these activities; (c) advise state agencies concerning the promulgation of administrative rules related to solid waste reduction, recovery and recycling; (d) advise DNR and the University of Wisconsin System concerning educational efforts and research related to these activities; (e) in cooperation with the packaging industry, recommend standards for recyclable packaging; (f) develop recommendations, and advise and assist local officials and the automotive service industry in promoting the recycling of used oil filters; (g) advise DNR concerning the development of a statewide plan for public service announcements that would provide information about recycling programs and the benefits of recycling; and (h) advise the Governor and the Legislature.

During 2017 and 2018, the Council worked on the following activities: (a) selected recycling markets as the highest priority issue; (b) invited industry commodity experts to present information related to plastics, metals, glass, paper, textiles, and mattresses; (c) emphasized holding meetings in various regions of the state to obtain regional market perspectives; (d) discussed domestic and international transportation and logistics related to recyclable materials; and (e) incorporated tours of facilities related to recycling in the regions where meetings were held.

### Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection Clean Sweep Grants

The clean sweep program provides grants to

counties and municipalities for the collection and disposal of pesticides, farm chemicals, unwanted prescription drugs, and hazardous wastes. The program is administered under administrative rule ATCP 34. Base funding is \$750,000 annually from the environmental management account. DATCP estimates full-time equivalent staffing allocated to the clean sweep program of 0.75 position at a cost of \$67,000 annually from the segregated agrichemical management fund in the 2017-19 biennium.

DATCP must award at least two-thirds of the funding available to household hazardous waste and pharmaceuticals collections. Grant awards are made to reimburse local costs, with municipalities providing a match of at least 25% of cash or inkind services. DATCP sets a maximum grant award, shown in Table 11 for grants in the 2019 calendar year. Municipalities may apply jointly for a grant to host a singular collection site. Sites may be temporary (up to three days per year), continuous (four or more days per year), or permanent (at least six months and with permanent infrastructure for collections). Beginning in 2016, municipalities may apply for funding of \$1,000 per box (maximum five) to purchase drop boxes for unwanted prescription drugs. As of 2018, DATCP estimates the clean sweep program supports

**Table 11: 2019 Maximum Clean Sweep Grants** 

Event	Single	Multi-
Collection Type	Jurisdiction	Jurisdictional
A 1 1 1777 .		
Agricultural Waste		
Permanent	\$11,000	\$41,000
Continuous	10,000	40,000
Temporary	8,000	20,000
Household		
Permanent	21,000	66,000
Continuous	20,000	65,000
Temporary	16,000	30,000
Prescription Drug*		
Continuous	5,000	8,000
Temporary	4,000	6,000

<sup>\*</sup>Municipalities may also apply for up to \$5,000 for prescription drug drop boxes, at \$1,000 per box.

between 100 and 150 drug drop boxes across the state.

For 2018 events, DATCP grant awards included: (a) \$475,000 for household hazardous waste collections; (b) \$200,000 for collections of agricultural waste; and (c) \$75,000 for collections of unwanted prescription drugs. Of 50 grantees in 2018, 11 were permanent, 20 were continuous, and 19 were temporary. Twenty-five grantees collected agricultural waste, 36 collected hazardous household waste, and 27 collected prescription drugs.

Wastes collected at 2017 events totaled approximately 2.4 million pounds, including: (a) 2,209,200 pounds of household hazardous waste; (b) 139,400 pounds of waste from agricultural sources; and (c) 39,200 pounds of unwanted prescription drugs. In addition, collection sites received 310,400 pounds in waste from other small businesses, known as very small quantity generators (VSQGs), for a total of 2.7 million pounds.

Eligible grant expenditures include: (a) costs to hire a hazardous waste contractor; (b) equipment rentals, supplies and services to operate the collection site and handle disposal, including permanent, secure drop boxes for unwanted prescription drugs; (c) staff costs related to a continuous or permanent collection event; and (d) educational and promotional activities. Grants may not be used to collect, among other items: (a) uncontaminated oil; (b) batteries; (c) contaminated soil or debris; (d) fluorescent tubes; (e) triple-rinsed plastic pesticide containers; (f) materials or devices that may be disposed of at other waste or recycling sites; (g) personal care products; (h) infectious waste and hypodermic needles; and (i) chemicals for which there is no federal- or state-approved disposal method.

VSQGs are commercial firms that do not produce more than 220 pounds of hazardous waste a month. VSQGs may bring in hazardous waste for disposal at clean sweep sites. VSQGs must register with the collecting agency or hazardous waste contractor. The collecting agency or contractor must keep records of the amount of waste collected from the VSQG, the total cost to collect and dispose of this waste, and the total amount of payments received from the generator. VSQGs are eligible for up to a 50% subsidy from DATCP for disposal of pesticides or other farm chemical wastes, but must pay the full disposal costs of other waste. For 2017 collections, VSQGs disposed of 312,000 pounds of waste, including 1,544 pounds of agricultural waste. DATCP reimbursed VSQGs \$2,400 for the agricultural waste collections.

APPENDIX I

Recyclable Materials Collected by Responsible Units and Reported to DNR (Tons)

Year	Materials Banned from Landfills as of 1995*	Yard Waste	Other Banned Materials**	Non- Banned Materials***	Total
	<u> </u>	11 4500	1,100011015	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	1000
1994	226,701	213,635	18,018	3,195	461,549
1995	360,669	210,288	22,598	47,316	640,871
1996	361,001	241,492	20,848	76,344	699,685
1997	389,161	280,213	25,950	71,682	767,006
1998	379,772	288,606	26,703	99,240	794,321
1999	389,381	278,275	26,668	70,994	765,318
2000	405,179	252,479	24,956	47,969	730,583
2001	403,915	260,047	23,498	39,596	727,056
2002	397,384	248,165	25,927	43,017	714,493
2003	397,596	260,396	22,097	55,521	735,610
2004	410,548	281,506	19,315	18,254	729,623
2005	410,492	283,489	15,867	18,384	728,232
2006	419,116	267,338	13,558	18,538	718,550
2007	411,047	241,149	14,001	23,521	689,718
2008	423,661	275,869	16,952	19,705	736,187
2009	410,443	270,946	12,972	16,000	710,361
2010	420,047	260,747	16,511	23,269	720,574
2011	396,703	242,731	16,247	NA	655,681
2012	390,824	250,021	15,755	NA	656,600
2013	412,899	259,291	20,035	NA	692,225
2014	412,767	277,955	19,511	NA	710,233
2015	410,192	279,141	17,698	NA	707,031
2016	418,376	251,394	18,319	NA	688,089
2017	421,526	240,007	17,307	NA	678,840

<sup>\* 1994</sup> was the year before certain materials were banned from landfills beginning in 1995. Includes newspapers, magazines, corrugated cardboard, office paper, aluminum cans, steel cans, glass containers, plastic containers, commingled containers and polystyrene foam.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Includes appliances, tires, lead acid batteries, and used oil. Includes electronics as of 2010.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Includes scrap metal, used clothing or textiles, miscellaneous recyclables, and residential mixed paper. Includes electronics through 2009. DNR does not collect this information beginning in 2011.

NA= Not available.

Municipal and County Recycling Grant, Efficiency Incentive Grant, and Consolidation Grant Programs: Appropriation Levels 1990-91 Through 2018-19

**APPENDIX II** 

Calendar <u>Year</u>	Fiscal Year	Municipal and County Recycling Grant Appropriation	Efficiency Incentive or Recycling Consolidation Grant Appropriation	Total Appropriation <u>Amount</u>
July 1, 1990 to				
Dec 31, 1991	1990-91	\$18,500,000	\$0	\$18,500,000
1992	1991-92	18,500,000	0	18,500,000
1993	1992-93	23,800,000	0	23,800,000
1994	1993-94	29,849,200	0	29,849,200
1995	1994-95	29,200,000	0	29,200,000
1996	1995-96	29,200,000	0	29,200,000
1997	1996-97	29,200,000	0	29,200,000
1998	1997-98	24,000,000	0	24,000,000
1999	1998-99	24,000,000	0	24,000,000
2000	1999-00	24,500,000	0	24,500,000
2001	2000-01	24,500,000	0	24,500,000
2002	2001-02	24,500,000	0	24,500,000
2003	2002-03	24,500,000	1,900,000*	26,400,000
2004	2003-04	24,500,000	1,900,000	26,400,000
2005	2004-05	24,500,000	1,900,000	26,400,000
2006	2005-06	24,500,000	1,900,000	26,400,000
2007	2006-07	24,500,000	1,900,000	26,400,000
2008	2007-08	31,000,000	1,900,000	32,900,000
2009	2008-09	31,000,000**	1,900,000**	32,900,000
2010	2009-10	31,098,100**	0	31,098,100
2011	2010-11	32,098,100**	0	32,098,100
2012	2011-12	19,000,000	1,000,000	20,000,000
2013	2012-13	19,000,000	1,000,000	20,000,000
2014	2013-14	19,000,000	1,000,000	20,000,000
2015	2014-15	19,000,000	1,000,000	20,000,000
2016	2015-16	18,000,000	1,000,000	19,000,000
2017	2016-17	19,000,000	1,000,000	20,000,000
2017	2017-18	19,000,000	1,000,000	20,000,000
2018	2018-19	19,000,000	1,000,000	20,000,000
Total		\$698,445,400	\$21,300,000	\$719,745,400

<sup>\*</sup>In 2002-03 through 2008-09, a recycling efficiency incentive grant program provided additional funds for responsible units that consolidated, entered into cooperative agreements with other responsible units, or enacted other efficiencies. The program was repealed in the 2011-13 biennial budget act.

<sup>\*\*</sup>DNR awarded less than the appropriated amount to meet part of the Department's obligation to transfer funds to the state's general fund under deficit-reduction requirements of 2007-09 and 2009-11 legislation. DNR awarded \$29.3 million in 2008-09 (\$27.8 million for basic grants and \$1.5 million for recycling efficiency grants), \$29.3 million in 2009-10, and \$19.0 million in 2010-11.

#### **APPENDIX III**

#### **Prior Recycling Grant Formulas**

**Basic Grant**. In 1990 (fiscal year 1990-91), the first year grants were awarded under the municipal and county grant program, grants for the period from July 1, 1990, through December 31, 1991, were allocated through a special expedited process.

Grants for 1991 through 1999 were allocated based on a complex formula based on eligible expenses, "avoided disposal costs," and other factors. Avoided disposal costs are those costs, such as landfill tipping fees, that are not incurred by the responsible unit because material is recycled rather than disposed of by landfilling or incineration.

The basic grant award for 1991 through 1999 was determined by first calculating 66% of eligible expenses minus avoided disposal costs, and \$8 per capita, and determining which of the two amounts was less. The second step was to compare this amount with 33% of eligible expenses. The responsible unit received the greater of the two amounts determined in the second step. Third, counties that are responsible units for at least 75% of the county's population were guaranteed a minimum annual grant of \$100,000 if they had eligible expenses equal to or greater than that amount. The final step was to prorate all grant awards by an equal percentage (after providing the minimum \$100,000 grants to certain counties) to meet available funding.

1999 Wisconsin Act 9 changed the grant formula for 2000 and subsequent grant years. The Legislature enacted a change to a per capita-based grant formula. However, as a result of the Governor's partial veto, the formula was changed to a

proportional distribution based on 1999 awards.

In order to be eligible for a grant in 2000, a responsible unit had to have received financial assistance in 1999 and DNR had to have determined that the responsible unit has an effective recycling program. In 2000, 11 responsible units applied for and did not receive grants because they did not receive a grant in 1999.

Beginning in the 2001 grant year through the 2019 grant year, responsible units receive a grant equal to the same percentage of the total grant funding as the responsible unit received, or would have received, in 1999.

Supplemental Grant. Ten percent of the funds available for the basic grants in 1994 through 1999 were allocated for supplemental grants for responsible units that imposed volume-based fees for residential solid waste collection, such as a fee per garbage bag. The supplemental grant was calculated by dividing the available funds by the population subject to volume-based fees in the responsible units that imposed volume-based fees for residential solid waste collection.

Recycling Efficiency Incentive Grant. In grant year 2003 through 2009, \$1,900,000 annually was appropriated for recycling efficiency incentive grants. The voluntary program provided additional recycling program grants for responsible units that consolidate, enter into cooperative agreements with other responsible units, or enact other efficiencies. No funds were appropriated for the program in 2009-10 and 2010-11, and the program was repealed in the 2011-13 biennial budget act.

APPENDIX IV
Summary of Municipal and County Recycling Grant Amounts

Calendar Year	Number of Grantees (1)	Net Eligible Recycling	Actual Award Amount	Average Per Capita Award Amount
		Costs (2)		
1990/1991 final	1,860 (3)	NA	\$18,500,000	\$3.77
1992 final	870	\$35,588,600	18,452,200	4.07
1993 final	941	48,520,200	23,741,300	4.98
1994 final Basic Supplemental Total	1,001 <u>211</u> 1,001	56,520,200 <u>NA</u> 56,520,200	26,860,700 <u>2,943,900</u> 29,804,500	5.44 10.50 6.04
1995 final Basic Supplemental Total	$   \begin{array}{r}     1,010 \\     \underline{283} \\     1,010   \end{array} $	61,023,800 <u>NA</u> 61,023,800	26,182,500 2,914,100 29,096,600	5.21 <u>6.92</u> 5.80
1996 final Basic Supplemental Total	1,018 <u>299</u> 1,018	66,340,000 <u>NA</u> 66,340,000	26,278,600 <u>2,915,900</u> 29,194,500	5.18 <u>5.89</u> 5.75
1997 final Basic Supplemental Total	1,016 <u>290</u> 1,016	68,842,900 <u>NA</u> 68,842,900	26,268,900 2,917,900 29,186,800	5.13 <u>5.84</u> 5.71
1998 final Basic Supplemental Total	1,018 <u>292</u> 1,018	71,442,200 <u>NA</u> 71,442,200	21,440,200 <u>2,417,900</u> 23,858,100	4.15 4.38 4.61
1999 final Basic Supplemental Total	1,011 	73,262,600 <u>NA</u> 73,262,600	21,731,500 <u>2,397,900</u> 24,129,400	4.18 4.13 4.64
2000 final Total	999	76,581,100	24,312,500	4.66
2001 final Total	1,011	84,124,200	24,276,700	4.59
2002 final Total	1,016	82,624,400	24,387,500	4.53
2003 final Basic Efficiency Incentive Total	1,016 <u>110</u> 1,016	84,426,600 <u>NA</u> 84,426,600	24,404,900 <u>1,900,000</u> 26,304,900	4.50 <u>0.71</u> 4.84
2004 final Basic Efficiency Incentive Total	1,013	85,661,000 NA 85,661,000	24,383,300 <u>1,900,000</u> 26,283,300	$\frac{4.48}{0.74} \\ 4.83$
2005 final Basic Efficiency Incentive Total	$   \begin{array}{r}     1,010 \\     \underline{148} \\     1,010   \end{array} $	90,136,100 <u>NA</u> 90,136,100	24,409,700 1,898,200 26,307,900	4.43 <u>0.66</u> 4.78
2006 final Basic Efficiency Incentive Total	1,012 <u>120</u> 1,012	93,952,900 <u>NA</u> 93,952,900	24,435,000 <u>1,900,000</u> 26,335,000	4.40 <u>0.71</u> 4.74
2007 final Basic Efficiency Incentive Total	1,008 <u>124</u> 1,008	98,387,100 <u>NA</u> 98,387,100	24,414,600 1,900,000 26,314,600	4.37 <u>0.70</u> 4.71

#### **APPENDIX IV (continued)**

#### **Summary of Municipal and County Recycling Grant Amounts**

Calendar Year	Number of Grantees (1)	Net Eligible Recycling <u>Costs (2)</u>	Actual <u>Award Amount</u>	Average Per Capita <u>Award Amount</u>
2008 final Basic	1,018	\$99,118,900	\$30,787,900	\$5.47
Efficiency Incentive	<u>227</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>1,900,000</u>	<u>0.65</u>
Total	1,018	99,118,900	32,687,900	5.81
2009 final Basic	1,022	$\frac{107,997,300}{\frac{\text{NA}}{107,997,300}}$	27,829,100 (4)	4.92
Efficiency Incentive	<u>161</u>		<u>1,500,000</u> (4)	<u>0.56</u>
Total	1,022		29,329,100	5.18
2010 final Basic Efficiency Incentive Total	$\frac{1,025}{\frac{0}{1,025}}$	$\frac{104,028,700}{\frac{\text{NA}}{104,028,700}}$	$\frac{29,294,200 (4)}{29,294,200}$	5.16 <u>0.00</u> 5.16
2011 final Basic Efficiency Incentive Total	$\frac{1,020}{\frac{0}{1,020}}$	$\frac{103,514,700}{\frac{\text{NA}}{103,514,700}}$	18,954,000 (4) 0 18,954,000	3.34 <u>0.00</u> 3.34
2012 final Basic Consolidation Total	$   \begin{array}{r}     1,026 \\     \underline{186} \\     1,026   \end{array} $	$\frac{105,885,200}{\frac{\text{NA}}{105,885,200}}$	19,000,000 <u>1,000,000</u> 20,000,000	3.34 <u>0.27</u> 3.52
2013 final Basic Consolidation Total	$   \begin{array}{r}     1,020 \\     \hline     193 \\     \hline     1,020   \end{array} $	$\frac{109,311,000}{\frac{\text{NA}}{109,310,000}}$	18,996,900 <u>1,000,000</u> 19,996,900	3.34 <u>0.26</u> 3.52
2014 final Basic	$   \begin{array}{r}     1,024 \\     \underline{203} \\     1,024   \end{array} $	112,172,800	19,000,000	3.32
Consolidation		NA	<u>1,000,000</u>	<u>0.26</u>
Total		112,172,800	20,000,000	3.50
2015 final Basic	$   \begin{array}{r}     1,027 \\     \hline     201 \\     1,027   \end{array} $	116,717,400	19,000,000	3.34
Consolidation		<u>NA</u>	<u>1,000,000</u>	<u>0.26</u>
Total		116,717,400	20,000,000	3.49
2016 final Basic	$   \begin{array}{r}     1,024 \\     \underline{193} \\     1,024   \end{array} $	116,466,000	18,000,000	3.31
Consolidation		<u>NA</u>	<u>1,000,000</u>	<u>0.26</u>
Total		116,466,000	19,000,000	3.50
2017 final Basic	$   \begin{array}{r}     1,029 \\     \hline     1,029 \\     \hline     1,029   \end{array} $	119,329,600	18,999,500	3.29
Consolidation		<u>NA</u>	<u>1,000,000</u>	<u>0.26</u>
Total		119,329,600	19,999,500	3.47
2018 award Basic Consolidation Total	$\frac{1,032}{\frac{197}{1,032}}$	$\frac{120,817,300}{\frac{NA}{120,817,300}}$	19,000,000 <u>1,000,000</u> 20,000,000	3.30 <u>0.26</u> 3.47

NA: Not applicable

<sup>(1)</sup> All grantees that received a supplemental grant in 1994 through 1999, an efficiency incentive grant in 2003 through 2009, or a consolidation grant in 2012 through 2018, first received a basic grant.

<sup>(2)</sup> For final grants, this equals the lesser of the actual net eligible recycling costs and the net eligible recycling costs that were estimated at the time of the initial grant award.

<sup>(3)</sup> This equals the 1990 total of 1,849 municipalities plus 11 Native American tribes. Since the first expedited grant installment was made to all municipalities and tribes, and subsequent installments only to responsible units, this is the maximum number of units that received any of the expedited grant installments.

<sup>(4)</sup> DNR awarded less than the appropriated amount to meet part of the Department's obligation to transfer funds to the state's general fund under deficit-reduction requirements of 2007-09 and 2009-11 legislation.